

**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION I
BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER**

In the Matter of:) **EPA Docket No. RCRA-01-2015-0052**
)
C.E. Bradley Laboratories, Inc.)
56 Bennett Drive)
Brattleboro, Vermont 05301)

**ASSENTED-TO MOTION FOR THIRD EXTENSION OF TIME
FOR RESPONDENT TO FILE ANSWER AND REQUEST HEARING**

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(b), C.E. Bradley Laboratories, Inc. (“Respondent”) respectfully requests a third extension of time of 31 calendar days (i.e., until February 29, 2015) for Respondent to file a written Answer and a Request for Hearing to the Administrative Complaint filed on October 1, 2015 by the EPA Region I Office of Environmental Stewardship’s Legal Enforcement Division (“Complainant”). As grounds for this motion, the Respondent states as follows:

1. On October 1, 2015, the Complainant filed its Administrative Complaint in this action.
2. On October 2, 2015, Complainant served Respondent’s President, Rashed Kanaan, with a copy of the Administrative Complaint and related case-opening papers. Without an extension of time, Respondent’s Answer and Request for Hearing would have been due no later than November 2, 2015.¹
3. On October 27, 2015, Respondent filed an assented-to motion for extension of time to file Respondent’s answer and to request a hearing, seeking an extension of time until

¹ Respondent’s Answer and Request for Hearing, absent extension, would have been due thirty (30) days following service of the complaint. Because the thirtieth day fell on Sunday, November 1, the actual response date would have been Monday, November 2, 2015, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(a).

December 15, 2015 to file Respondent's Answer and Request for Hearing. That motion was granted.

4. On December 8, 2015, Respondent filed an assented-to motion for second extension of time to file Respondent's answer and to request a hearing, seeking an extension until January 29, 2015. That motion was granted.
5. Counsel for Complainant and Respondent (collectively, the "Parties") have spoken, and have expressed a shared interest in principle to pursuing discussions about achieving an expeditious settlement of this matter. Since the filing of the last motion for extension of time, the Parties have continued to share information and discuss the allegations set forth in the Administrative Complaint. Most recently, on January 21, 2015, the Parties held a telephonic meeting to discuss the substantive issues, which was attended by counsel for Complainant (Andrea Simpson), counsel for Respondent (David Rosenblatt and Zachary Gates), the Complainant's employee who inspected the facility (Drew Meyer), and the President of the Respondent (Rashed Kanaan).
6. An extension until February 29, 2015 is anticipated to provide the Parties with additional time to engage in further meaningful settlement discussions.
7. Counsel for Complainant, Andrea Simpson, has been contacted regarding Respondent's request, and has noted her assent to the third extension of time.

DATE: January 22, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

C.E. Bradley Laboratories, Inc.


By its attorneys,



Zachary R. Gates
David Rosenblatt
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110
Email: zgates@burnslev.com
Telephone: (617) 345-3000
Facsimile: (617) 345-3299

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.5(a)(3), and in accordance with the Region's *Standing Order Authorizing Filing and Service by E-Mail in Proceedings Before the Region 1 Regional Judicial Officer* (October 9, 2014), I hereby certify that this day, January 22, 2016, a true and correct copy of this motion is being served on Complainant's counsel, Andrea Simpson, by electronic mail.



Zachary R. Gates